OST Eastern Switzerland University of Applied Sciences

Distributed Systems (DSy)

Introduction, part 1

Thomas Bocek 18.02.2024

Learning Goals

- Distributed systems add complexity. Avoid complexity!
- Why do we need distributed systems?
 1) Scaling (if one machine is not enough)
 2) Location (to move closer to the user)
 3) Fault-tolerance (HW will fail eventually)



Distributed Systems Motivation

- Why Distributed Systems
 - Scaling
 - Vertical (scale up), more memory, faster CPU
 - Horizontal (scale out), more machines
 - Apple has 75'000 Apache Cassandra nodes storing 10 petabytes of data in 2015 [source]





- Scaling Vertical
 - Example Ryzen 7 1700X, Ryzen 9, 3900X, Ryzen 9, 5950
 - Single Core
 - Cinebench R23:
 - 1700X: 981 (2017) [link]
 - 3900X: 1302 (2019) [link]
 - 5950X: 1644 (2020)
 - In 3 years, ~66% faster





Distributed Systems Motivation

- Machine Learning
 - Current trend: scale horizontally
 - NVIDIA H200 Tensor Core GPU [link], 141GB
 - AMD Instinct MI300X Accelerators [link], 192GB
 - High param LLM with large context size
 - 1 User = 1 Card
 - Building models, fine-tuning \rightarrow faster with multiple cards
 - Storing data \rightarrow scalable storage
 - ML with vertical scaling is not possible

- Economics
 - Initially scaling vertically is cheaper, until you max out HW
 - Current servers are fast: Rasperry Pi [link]



Distributed Systems Motivation

Horizontal Scaling

- + Lower cost with massive scale
- + Easier to add fault-tolerance
- + Higher availability
- Adaption of software required
- More complex system, more components involved

Vertical Scaling

- + Lower cost with small scale
- + No adaption of software required
- + Less complexity
- HW limits for scaling
- Risk of HW failure causing outage
- More difficult to add fault-tolerance



- Moore's Law nr. of transistors doubles every 2 years (other predictions, doubling chip performance every 18 month)
- Dead in 2025? Or 2045?
- Forbes 1995: "The price per transistor will bottom out sometime between 2003 and 2005. From that point on, there will be no economic point to making transistors smaller. So Moore's Law ends in seven years."

AMD EPYC, 96 cores, 2socket server \rightarrow 384 threads

Apple M2 Ultra ~134b

Moore's Law: The number of transistors on microchips doubles every two years Our World

Moore's law describes the empirical regularity that the number of transistors on integrated circuits doubles approximately every two years. This advancement is important for other aspects of technological progress in computing – such as processing speed or the price of computers.



6 Distributed Systems

Data source: Wikipedia (wikipedia.org/wiki/Transistor_count) OurWorldinData.org – Research and data to make progress against the world's largest problems.

Licensed under CC-BY by the authors Hannah Ritchie and Max Roser.

- Nielsen's Law: a high-end user's connection speed grows by 50% per year
- Bandwidth grows slower than computer power
 - Telecoms companies are conservative
 - Users are reluctant to spend much money on bandwidth
 - The user base is getting broader
- Optimize for bandwidth not for CPU
- Zmap complete scan of the IPv4 address space in under 5 minutes
- Init7: Fiber7-X2 25/25 Gbit ~65CHF/month



https://www.nngroup.com/articles/law-of-bandwidth/



		Annualized Growth Rate	Compound Growth Over 10 Years
Nielsen's law	Internet bandwidth	50%	57×
Moore's law	Computer power	60%	100×

- Kryder's Law: disk density doubling every 13 month
- «Soon hard drives will migrate into phones, still cameras, PDAs, cars and everyday appliances» https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/kryders-law/, Aug. 2005
- User behavior changed
 - SSD, speed is important
- Cloud Dropbox, Spotify
 - Streaming





http://blog.dshr.org/2016/05/the-future-of-storage.html



- Vertical scaling
 - HW today is fast!
 - Database benchmark with a fast machine in 2020 (96 cores, 384GB RAM, 4 x NVMe SSD)
 - 70k TPS
- Best principle for small and simple applications!

- Simple website with a few DB calls is not HW intensive
 - But: ML, Gaming (cloud gaming) are HW intensive

PostgreSQL12: TPS vs. Connections



https://www.enterprisedb.com/blog/pgbench-performancebenchmark-postgresql-12-and-edb-advanced-server-12



- Example: Let's Encrypt
- 21.01.2021: The Next Gen Database Servers Powering Let's Encrypt [link]
 - Providing certificates for 275m websites
 - "A database is at the heart of how Let's Encrypt manages certificate issuance" 1 single MariaDB
 - "We run the CA against a single database in order to minimize complexity" – Some read operations at replicas, one server for writes
 - 2x Xeon 24-cores running at 90%
 - Upgrade to 2x64 Epyc, on 15.09, running at 25%
 - Query 3 times faster
 - SATA \rightarrow NVMe IO from 500MB/s to 3 GB/s





7/14 00:00 09/14 12:00 09/15 00:00 09/15 12:00 09/16 00:00 09/16 12:00 09/16 12:00 09/17 00:00 09/17 12:00 09/18 00:00 09/18 12:00 09/19 00:00 09/19 12:00 09/20 00:00 09/20 12:00

